

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/535,573	03/27/2000	Robert A. Foster	M-4540-1C us 3655	
7590 11/02/2005			EXAMINER	
MACPHERS	ON KWOK CHEN &	NGUYEN, CUONG H		
1762 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE SUITE 226 SAN JOSE, CA 95110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/535,573	FOSTER, ROBERT A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/16/05 (the Appeal Brief). This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 47-86 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 47-86 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and according the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be objected to be the drawing(s) is objected to be objected to be objected to be the drawing(s) is objected to be object	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/535,573

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. This Office Action is the answer to the Appeal Brief received on 8/16/2005.
- 2. Claims 47-86 are pending in this application.

Response

3. On 10/26/2005, the applicant left a telephone message for a previous USPTO's argument that a Terminal Disclaimer should be made for US Pat. 6,052,672 before a Notice of Allowance of SN. 09/535,573 is issued; the applicant requests an obviousness-type double patenting rejection is issued for the record because there are different scopes between the pending invention and US Pat. 6,052,672. The examiner withdraws a final office action mailed on 3/02/2005.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 3661

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 47-86 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-46 of U.S. Patent No. 6,052,672. Although the conflicting claims are not expressly identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the applicant asserts that it has a different scope; however, the examiner recognizes that in the pending application, e.g., "providing a database ... for pricing a transaction" in the preamble of claim 47, is the same as a limitation of claim 1 (US Pat. 6,052,672) "creating a database, comprising:" (this comprising covers limitations of claim 47 here, the body of the claim makes no reference back to the database of the preamble; dependent claims are rejected for the same rationales).
- 6. Notes: The Court held that the preamble language may not a limitation in a certain case, because:
 - applicant did not rely on a preamble phrase to define the invention, or
 - the preamble phrase is not essential to understand limitations or terms in the body of the claim, or
 - deletion of the phrase from the preamble does not effect the structural definition or operation of the claimed method, or
 - the body of the claim defines a structurally complete invention.
 - See Catalina Mktg. Int'l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc., 289 F.3d 801, 808, 62 USPQ2d
 1781, 1784 (Fed. Cir. 2002).

Application/Control Number: 09/535,573

Art Unit: 3661

Conclusion

Page 4

6. Claims 47-86 are not patentable.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6759. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THOMAS G. BLACK can be reached on 571-272-6956. The Rightfax number for the examiner where this application is assigned is 571-273-6759.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Please provide support, with page and line numbers, for any amended or new claim in an effort to help advance prosecution; otherwise any new claim language that is introduced in an amended or new claim may be considered as new matter, especially if the Application is a Jumbo Application.

Cuonghnguyen

CUONG H. NGUYEN

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3661